JOC The Journal of Organic Chemistry

Note

pubs.acs.org/joc

Synthesis of Diphenylhexatriene by the Pd-Catalyzed Dimerization of Cinnamyl Acetate

Tehetena Mesganaw, G-Yoon J. Im, and Neil K. Garg*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90095, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A mild and operationally simple method to synthesize diphenylhexatriene (DPH) is reported. The method relies on the Pd-catalyzed dimerization of cinnamyl acetate and provides efficient access to DPH in a single step.



The synthesis of conjugated hydrocarbons that display interesting fluorescence properties remains an important area of research. For example, compounds such as diphenylhexatriene (DPH, **1**), $DiSC_{3+}(5)$ (**2**), and CTMPA (**3**) (Figure 1),



Figure 1. Conjugated hydrocarbons as fluorescent probes.

play key roles as fluorescent probes in biological studies.[1] Of these, DPH is exceptionally noteworthy as it can be utilized in an array of applications, such as serving as a lipid membrane fluorescent probe for cancer studies,[2] performing as a biological sensor for detecting fatty acyl chains,[2] and monitoring protein aggregation to identify both amorphous and fibrillar aggregates.[1c]

Several reported methods for the synthesis of diphenylhexatriene (**1**) are summarized in Figure 2. Doyle and Yan disclosed a method to arrive at diphenylhexatriene (**1**) and its isomer **6** in 55% yield (98:2 mixture of isomers). Their approach first involved conversion of cinnamaldehyde (**4**) to diazo compound **5**. Subsequent rhodium-catalyzed dimerization of **5** provided DPH (**1**).[3] The Tian group reported a stereoselective olefination of triphenylphosphonium ylide **8** with *N*-sulfonyl imine **7** to arrive at **1** in 87% yield.[4] Kasahara and co-workers discovered the palladium-catalyzed coupling of fumaryl chloride (**9**) with styrene (**10**) to provide **1** in 44% yield.[5] Two methods to directly convert cinnamaldehyde (**4**) to DPH (**1**) in good yields have also been reported. A titanium-catalyzed dimerization furnished DPH (**1**) in 65% yield, as recently shown by the Barrero group.[6] Finally, Mioskowski and Falck have disclosed a reductive olefination of cinnamaldehyde (**4**) via a chromium Brook rearrangement to yield diphenylhexatriene (**1**) in 83% yield.[7]

While investigating unrelated transformations involving π-allyl Pd intermediates, we unexpectedly found that cinnamyl acetate (**12**) may be readily converted to diphenylhexatriene (**1**) using Pd catalysis. As shown in Table 1, we initially observed that exposure of cinnamyl acetate to $Pd(OAc)_2$, PPh_3, and triethylamine in DMSO gave **1** in 48% yield (entry 1). We also investigated the dimerization in toluene, 1,2-dichloroethane, and tetrahydrofuran (entries 2−4), but **1** was not observed when these solvents were employed.[8] Gratifyingly, the use of acetonitrile as solvent furnished **1** in 92% yield (entry 5). After identifying acetonitrile as the optimal solvent, we examined the influence of ligands. Several phosphorus-based ligands were tested, namely, triphenyl phosphite, tricyclohexylphosphine, and tri-*ortho*-tolylphosphine, but DPH (**1**) formation was not observed (entries 6−8).[8] However, dimerization in the presence of dppf as the ligand yielded 77% of the desired triene **1** (entry 9). We also investigated the use of Pd/C without ligand additives, but the reaction shut down completely (entry 10). With these results in hand, the conditions described in entry 5 were selected for further optimization studies. In order to ease the purification process, the use of a triphenylphosphine resin was examined. To our delight, replacement of PPh_3 with a solid-supported variant gave **1** in quantitative yield (entry 11).[9] It was also found that propionitrile could be substituted for acetonitrile to give **1** in comparable yields (entry 12). The use of propionitrile was beneficial in that it allowed for reactions to be conducted at higher temperatures and led to more consistent results in larger-scale experiments.

With suitable reaction conditions in hand for the efficient synthesis of **1**, we tested the scalability of our procedure (Figure 3). Performing the coupling using >10 mmol of

Received: January 9, 2013
Published: February 15, 2013

   dx.doi.org/10.1021/jo400032j | J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 3391−3393



Figure 2. Various approaches to diphenylhexatriene (**1**).

Table 1. Optimization of Reaction Conditions[a]



entry	Pd source	ligand	solvent	yield[b] (%)
1	$Pd(OAc)_2$	PPh_3	DMSO	48
2	$Pd(OAc)_2$	PPh_3	toluene	0
3	$Pd(OAc)_2$	PPh_3	1,2-dichloroethane	0
4	$Pd(OAc)_2$	PPh_3	tetrahydrofuran	0
5	$Pd(OAc)_2$	PPh_3	acetonitrile	92
6	$Pd(OAc)_2$	$P(OPh)_3$	acetonitrile	0
7	$Pd(OAc)_2$	PCy_3	acetonitrile	0
8	$Pd(OAc)_2$	$P(o\text{-tolyl})_3$	acetonitrile	0
9	$Pd(OAc)_2$	dppf	acetonitrile	77
10	Pd/C		acetonitrile	0
11	$Pd(OAc)_2$	PPh_3 resin	acetonitrile	100
12	$Pd(OAc)_2$	PPh_3 resin	propionitrile	96[c]

[a]Conditions unless otherwise stated: Pd source (5 mol %), ligand (15 mol %), cinnamyl acetate **12** (1 equiv), Et_3N (3 equiv) in solvent (0.2 M) at 85 °C for 24 h. [b]Yield determined by ^1H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture using hexamethylbenzene as an internal standard. [c]Reaction performed at 105 °C.



Figure 3. Synthesis of diphenylhexatriene (**1**).

cinnamyl acetate (**12**) under our optimized reaction conditions ($Pd(OAc)_2$, PPh_3 resin, and triethylamine in propionitrile at 105 °C) gave diphenylhexatriene (**1**) in 73% isolated yield after flash column chromatography.[10] This result underscores the effectiveness of our method for preparing the fluorescent probe DPH (**1**).

We also tested the viability of accessing **1** using substrates other than cinnamyl acetate (Figure 4). Initially, we examined



Figure 4. Testing substrate variation for the synthesis of diphenylhexatriene (**1**).

the branched isomer of cinnamyl acetate, **13**,[11] and subjected it to our coupling conditions. DPH (**1**) was formed in 96% yield, which is comparable to the results obtained using cinnamyl acetate (**12**).[12] Other linear derivatives of cinnamyl alcohol were also probed under our optimized dimerization conditions. Cinnamyl pivalate **14**[13] underwent smooth coupling to furnish **1** in 88% yield, whereas the corresponding carbonate **15**[14] yielded only 27% of the desired product. In the latter case, the remainder of the mass consisted of unreacted starting material and cinnamyl alcohol. Finally, commercially available cinnamyl chloride **16** was converted to **1** in 70% yield using our standard conditions.

In summary, we have developed an efficient means to synthesize the important fluorescent probe diphenylhexatriene. The method relies on the unusual palladium-catalyzed

dx.doi.org/10.1021/jo400032j | J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 3391−3393

dimerization of cinnamyl acetate to furnish DPH (**1**) in good yield. Our method is scalable and provides access to gram quantities of the desired conjugated triene. The use of alternate electrophilic derivatives, other than cinnamyl acetate, can also be used to efficiently access **1**.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Representative Procedure for Optimization Studies (Table 1, entry 1 is used as an example). Diphenylhexatriene (1). A flame-dried 4-mL vial equipped with a magnetic stir bar was charged with hexamethylbenzene (6.5 mg, 0.04 mmol, 10 mol %), $Pd(OAc)_2$ (4.6 mg, 0.02 mmol, 5 mol %), and PPh_3 (15.7 mg, 0.06 mmol, 15 mol %) while purging with N_2. Subsequently, DMSO (2.0 mL), Et_3N (167 μL, 1.2 mmol, 3 equiv) and cinnamyl acetate (67 μL, 0.4 mmol, 1 equiv) were added to the reaction vial. The solvent was sparged with N_2 for 20 min and the vial was capped with a Teflon-lined screw cap. The reaction was heated at 85 °C for 24 h. The reaction was allowed to cool to 23 °C and was then diluted with benzene/Et_2O (1:1, 5 mL). The solution was filtered by passage over a short plug of silica gel (×2), and eluted with additional benzene/Et_2O (1:1, 5 mL). The yield was determined by ^1H NMR analysis with hexamethylbenzene as an internal standard.

Diphenylhexatriene (1). To a flame-dried pressure tube equipped with a stir bar was added $Pd(OAc)_2$ (0.129 g, 0.568 mmol) and PPh_3 resin (1.42 g, 1.70 mmol), while purging with N_2. The vent needle was removed and EtCN (19 mL), triethylamine (4.74 mL, 34.0 mmol) and cinnamyl acetate (1.91 mL, 11.4 mmol) were added. The solvent was sparged with N_2 and was stirred vigorously for 45 min. The pressure tube was capped and the reaction was heated at 105 °C. After 2.5 d, the reaction mixture was allowed to cool to 23 °C. The mixture was then diluted with benzene/Et_2O (1:1, 20 mL), filtered by passage over silica gel (×2), and eluted with additional benzene/Et_2O (1:1, 20 mL). The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Purification by flash chromatography (95:5 hexanes/EtOAc) afforded diphenylhexatriene (**1**) as a yellow solid (0.96 g, 73% yield). R_f 0.4 (95:5 Hexanes/EtOAc); ^1H NMR (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ 7.42 (d, J = 7.5, 4H), 7.32 (t, J = 7.5, 4H); 7.24 (t, J = 7.5, 2H), 6.89 (dddd, J = 15.0, 7.5, 7.5, 3.0, 2H), 6.60 (d, J = 15.0, 2H), 6.52 (dd, J = 7.5, 3.0, 2H); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ 137.4, 133.6, 132.7, 129.1, 128.7, 127.6, 126.4; IR (film): 3058, 3013, 1594, 1490, 1447, 1178, 1072 cm^{-1}; HRMS-CI (m/z) $[M]^+$ calcd for $C_{18}H_{16}$, 232.1252; found, 232.1253; mp 193–195 °C. Spectral data match those previously reported.[4]

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

^1H NMR and ^{13}C NMR spectra for compound **1**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*neilgarg@chem.ucla.edu

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to Boehringer Ingelheim, DuPont, Eli Lilly, Amgen, AstraZeneca, NOBCChE/GlaxoSmithKline (T.M.), Bristol–Myers Squibb (T.M.), Roche, the A. P. Sloan Foundation, the S. T. Li Foundation, the University of California, Los Angeles, and NIH-NIGMS (R00 GM079922) for financial support. These studies were supported by shared instrumentation grants from the NSF (CHE-1048804) and the National Center for Research Resources (S10RR025631).

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Lakowicz, J. R.; Prendergast, F. G.; Hogen, D. *Biochemistry* **1979**, *18*, 520–527. (b) Lentz, B. R. *J. Fluoresc.* **1995**, *5*, 29–38. (c) Makwana, P. K.; Jethva, P. N.; Roy, I. *Analyst* **2011**, *136*, 2161–2167. (d) Kawabe, Y.; Kato, S. *Dyes Pigm.* **2012**, *95*, 614–618. (e) Cao, R.; Venezia, C. F.; Armitage, B. A. *J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn.* **2001**, *18*, 844–857. (f) Wang, M.; Silva, G. L.; Armitage, B. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 9977–9986. (g) Guo, Z.; Kim, G.-H.; Shin, I.; Yoon, J. *Biomaterials* **2012**, *33*, 7818–7827.

(2) (a) Spiegel, R. J.; Magrath, I. T.; Shutta, J. A. *Cancer. Res.* **1981**, *41*, 452–458. (b) Munishkina, L. A.; Fink, A. L. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Biomembr.* **2007**, *1768*, 1862–1885.

(3) Doyle, M. P.; Yan, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, *67*, 602–604.

(4) Dong, D.-J.; Li, H.-H.; Tian, S.-K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 5018–5020.

(5) Kasahara, A.; Izumi, T.; Kuduo, N. *Synthesis* **1988**, 704–705.

(6) Diéguez, H. R.; López, A.; Domingo, V.; Arteaga, J. F.; Dobado, J. A.; Herrador, M. M.; Quílez del Moral, J.; Barrero, A. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 254–259.

(7) Baati, R.; Mioskowski, C.; Barma, D.; Kache, R.; Falck, J. R. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 2949–2951.

(8) These experiments predominantly led to the recovery of unreacted starting material.

(9) Commercially available PPh_3 resin (CAS# 39319–11–4) was employed.

(10) Purification of diphenylhexatriene by silica gel chromatography is accompanied by some mass loss, which is attributed to the compound's propensity to precipitate out of solution.

(11) Commandeur, M.; Commandeur, C.; Cossy, J. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 6018–6021.

(12) In a recent study involving the cyclopropanation of alkenes, Horino et al. observed the formation of **1** and an isomeric compound from the corresponding 3-trimethylsilyl substrate (i.e., (*E*)-1-phenyl-3-(trimethylsilyl)allyl acetate); see: Horino, Y.; Homura, N.; Inoue, K.; Yoshikawa, S. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2012**, *354*, 828–834.

(13) Chen, C.-T.; Kuo, J.-H.; Pawar, V. D.; Munot, Y. S.; Weng, S.-S.; Ku, C.-H.; Liu, C.-Y. *J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, *70*, 1188–1197.

(14) Ohkoshi, M.; Michinishi, J.-Y.; Hara, S.; Senboku, H. *Tetrahedron* **2010**, *66*, 7732–7737.